



**ANTHROPOMETRIC AND PHYSICAL FITNESS COMPONENTS BETWEEN VOLLEYBALL  
AND BASKETBALL PLAYERS OF AURANGABAD UNIVERSITY**

**Harisha P. S.**

*Research Scholar, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad*

And

**K K Kadam**

*Asst. Prof. Department of Physical Education. Nutan College. Selu*

**Abstract :**

*For the purpose of study, total number of 200 male sportspersons, 100 Volleyball and 100 Basketball each, were intentionally selected to participate in South Zone Inter University of Aurangabad University players. The age range of the subjects selected was from 18 to 28 years. All subjects had least participated in south zone inter university levels. As indicated by an international sports federation named Sport Accord (2009), sport ought to have a component of rivalry, ought not damage any living animal whatsoever, and ought not depend on any luck component especially planned into the sport.*



*Aarhat Publication & Aarhat Journals is licensed Based on a work at <http://www.aarhat.com/eiirj/>*

**Key words :** Anthropometric parameters, Kabaddi and wrestling players

**INTRODUCTION:**

Sports or sport is by and large a few types of games or competitive physical activity (Sport Accord, 2009) which keeps up coordinated investment and improves the physical ability and skills of participants, while at the same time giving delight to the participants and much of the time engage onlookers too (Council of Europe, 2001). As indicated by an international sports federation named Sport Accord (2009), sport ought to have a component of rivalry, ought not damage any living animal whatsoever, and ought not depend on any luck component especially planned into the sport. As per Council of Europe (2001), sport signifies "all types of physical activity which, through easygoing or coordinated interest, target communicating or improving physical fitness and mental prosperity, framing social connections or getting brings about rivalry at all levels". Regularly the game or challenge is between different sides, where each side attempts to surpass the other.

**ANTHROPOMETRY IN SPORTS**

Anthropometry in sports is worried about the estimations of body size, piece and shape. It is the logical phrasing for the estimation of human. The term „anthropometry“ was begat in 1835 by Belgian space expert A. J. Quetelet when he distributed information on the stature weight estimations of male and female subjects, all things considered. The word is produced using two Greek words: anthros implies



man and metron intends to quantify (Ulajaszek, 1994). Subsequently, as per Ulajaszek (1994), anthropometry is the logical investigation which includes estimation of human body and its parts with normalized techniques.

### **PSYCHOLOGY IN SPORTS**

Psychology is the study of study of conduct. The term psychology is gotten from two Greek words: 'mind' which implies soul" and 'logia' which implies to study". In present day world, for psychology, the word soul has been viewed as inseparable from the word cerebrum or conduct. Thus psychology is the scientific study of human conduct alongside the mental and physiological cycles influencing it. As indicated by Reber (1995), psychology assists with comprehension "the personalities and practices of different organic entities from the most crude to the most intricate" (p. 617). Psychology is the scientific study of brain and conduct corresponding to a particular field of information or activity (Merriam-Webster Dictionary, 2018a). In sports, the standards of psychology are applied at the levels of ability improvement of sportspersons. Sports psychology manages the conduct of sportspersons and groups occupied with sports. Singh, Bains, Gill and Brar (2012) characterize sports psychology as "that part of psychology which is personally associated with human conduct on the play field, both under training and competitive circumstances, with the end goal of achieve subjective improvement in execution and keep up the equivalent in any event, during the burdens of rivalry.

#### **Methodology:**

### **SELECTION OF THE SUBJECTS**

For the purpose of study, total number of 200 male sportspersons, 100 Volleyball and 100 Basketball each, were intentionally selected to participate in South Zone Inter University of Aurangabad University players. The age range of the subjects selected was from 18 to 28 years. All subjects had least participated in south zone inter university levels.

### **STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM**

The problem of this study is entitled as: "Anthropometric and Physical Fitness Components between Volleyball and Basketball Players of Aurangabad University"

### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

1. To compare the anthropometrical variables between male players of Volleyball and Basketball.
2. To find out the difference in psychological variables between male players of Volleyball and Basketball.
3. To analyze the difference in physical variables between male players of Volleyball and Basketball.



## HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

For the present study the hypotheses were framed. It was hypothesized that:

## DELIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Study was delimited to anthropometrical, psychological and physical variables which are mention below.

### Anthropometrical variables

- |                                  |                               |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| i. Height                        | ii. Body weight               |
| iii. Humerus bicondylar diameter | iv. Femur bicondylar diameter |
| v. Upper arm circumference       | vi. Calf circumference        |
| vii. Triceps skinfold            | viii. Subscapular skinfold    |
| ix. Suprailiac skinfold          | x. Calf skinfold              |

### Psychological variables

- |                            |                |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| i. Self-confidence         | ii. Aggression |
| iii. Socio Economic Status |                |

### Physical variables

- |                             |                       |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| i. Speed                    | ii. Agility           |
| iii. Explosive power        | iv. Muscular strength |
| v. Cardiovascular endurance | vi. Flexibility       |

The study was delimited to 200 male players– 100 Volleyball and 100 Basketball players. The study was delimited only to male players. The study was further delimited to the age group of 18 to 28 years of the subjects. The subjects were selected to participate in the south zone inter university competitions.

## LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The other variables such as home environment, daily routine, life style, diet, habits, individual's health, personal tension, socio-economic and stress, could not be controlled during this study.

### Results :

**Table - 1**

**Sample composition of the study**

Subjects	Level	No. of sample
Volleyball	Inter University	100
Basketball	Inter University	100
	Total	200


**Table 2**
**Anthropometrical variables and instruments of the study**

Sr. No.	Anthropometrical variable	Instrument	Unit
	<b>A. General body measurements :</b>		
1.	Height	Anthropometer rod	M
2.	Body weight	Weighing machine	Kg
	<b>B. Skeletal diameters :</b>		
3.	Humerus bicondylar diameter	Sliding caliper	cm
4.	Femur bicondylar diameter	Sliding caliper	cm
	<b>C. Circumferences :</b>		
5.	Upper arm circumference	Gulick tape	cm
6.	Thigh circumference	Gulick tape	cm
	<b>D. Skin fold measurement :</b>		
7.	Triceps skinfold	Skinfold caliper	mm
8.	Subscapular skinfold	Skinfold caliper	mm
9.	Suprailiac skinfold	Skinfold caliper	mm
10.	Calf skinfold	Skinfold caliper	mm

**Table 3**
**Reliability Coefficients of Test Retest Scores**

Sr. No.	Test Item	Coefficient of correlation, r
1.	Height	.97*
2.	Body weight	.96*
3.	Humerus bicondylar	.92*
4.	Femur bicondylar	.97*
5.	Upper arm circumference	.99*
6.	Calf circumference	.96*
7.	Triceps skinfold	.96*
8.	Subscapular skinfold	.95*
9.	Suprailiac skinfold	.95*
10.	Calf skinfold	.93*

**CONCLUSIONS:**

1. There is significant difference of self-confidence between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
2. There is significant difference of aggression between the volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
3. There is significant difference of SES between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
4. There is significant difference of speed between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
5. There is significant difference of agility between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
6. There is significant difference of muscular strength between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
7. There is significant difference of explosive power between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
8. There is significant difference of cardiovascular endurance between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.
9. There is significant difference of flexibility between volleyball and basketball inter university male players of south zone.

**REFERENCES:**

- Alderman, R.B. (1974). *Psychological Behaviour in Sport*. W.B. Saunders Company, Toronto.
- Amita Dhaka, "Comparison of Selected Physical and Physiological Variables in Sportsmen Participating in Different Events of Track and Field", (Unpublished Master's Thesis, Jiwaji University, 1986).
- Carolyn Nicholson, "A study to determine the relationship of selected Anthropometric measurement to leg strength", Completed Research in Health Physical Education and Recreation\_6(1964): 94.
- Correnti and B. Zauli, "Olympionici 1960", Marves, cited by DeGaray, Levine and Carter, Genetic and Anthropological Studies of Olympic Athletes, p. 11.
- Domnic Thomas, "Relationship of Selected Motor Fitness Component and Anthropometric variables to velocity of Basketball Throw", Unpublished Master's Thesis, (1991).
- J.E. Lindsay Carter *et al.*, "Anthropometry of Montreal Olympic Athletes", (San Diego: 1968) cited by Carter, Physical Structure of Olympic Athletes. p. 25.
- Jasem Mohammad Rarnaden, "Selected Physiological Psychological and Anthropometric Characteristic of the Kuwaiti World Cup Soccer Team", Dissertation Abstracts International 46 (October 1985): 924-A.



- 
- John D. Red, “Anthropometric and strength characteristics of the High school competitive gymnasts” Completed Research in Health, Physical Education and Recreation 10, (1968): 36
- John W. Best James V. Khan (2012) 10<sup>th</sup> Edition Research in Education Phi Learning Private limited, New Delhi.
- Kothari C.R. (2004) Research Methodology methods and Technique 2<sup>ed</sup> Edition New Age International Publisher, New Delhi.
- Marcel Hebbelinck, “Anthropometric Measurements, Somato type Ratings and Certain Motor Fitness Tests of Physical Education Majors In South Africa”, Research Quarterly 34 (October 1963): 327.
- Plus Mathew, “Relationship of selected Anthropometric Measurement to performance on Brady Volleyball Test”. *Unpublished Master’s Thesis*, Jiwaji University, Gwalior 1985, 15.
- Ruth E. Terral, “Relationship of Pre and Post Puberty Anthropometric Measurements and Physical Fitness Test Scores of American Negro and Caucasian Females as Measured