



EMBRACING INTERSECTIONALITY IN SCIENCE EDUCATION: A PATHWAY TO INCLUSIVE LEARNING

** Dr. Kalpana Kharade,*

Introduction:

Science education has the potential to shape generations of critical thinkers and innovators. However, traditional approaches often fail to recognize the diverse social identities that influence students' experiences in science classrooms. This leads to educational inequities and excludes marginalized

groups from fully experiencing the joys and opportunities of scientific exploration. Embracing intersectionality in science education is crucial for creating an inclusive environment that recognizes and addresses these disparities, fostering a more just and equitable scientific community for all.

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

- What is Intersectionality?

Developed by legal scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw, intersectionality argues that social inequalities are not experienced through single categories like race or gender in isolation, but rather through the complex interplay of various identities (Crenshaw, 1989). This means that someone who is both Black and a woman experiences the world differently than someone who is white and a woman, or someone who is Black and a man. Recognizing these intersecting identities and their unique challenges is central to understanding the lived experiences of marginalized groups.

- How Can We Apply Intersectionality in Science Education?

Here are some key ways to apply intersectionality in science education:

1. Curriculum Representation:

- Showcase the contributions of diverse scientists and perspectives, not just white men (Brickhouse, 2000; Nasir, 2011).

- Include the historical and contemporary achievements of scientists from various ethnicities, genders, and socioeconomic backgrounds (Culturally Responsive Science Education Collective, 2019).
- Critically examine scientific discoveries through an intersectional lens, revealing biases and promoting a more nuanced understanding (Bang & Medin, 2014).

2. Pedagogy and Classroom Climate:

- Foster learning environments that embrace diversity and equity through active learning strategies, culturally responsive teaching methods, and safe spaces for students to express their unique perspectives (Delpit, 2012; Gay, 2000).
- Use culturally relevant teaching materials and encourage critical thinking skills to challenge dominant narratives (Ladson-Billings, 2009).
- Build inclusive classroom communities where all students feel valued and respected (Gonzalez,

Moll, & Amanti, 2005).

3. Student Supports:

- Recognize the unique challenges faced by marginalized students and provide targeted interventions, such as mentorship programs that connect them with role models from similar backgrounds (Yosso, 2005).
- Offer identity-affirming resources that validate and support students navigating diverse social identities (Sue, 2010).

4. Challenging Stereotypes and Gatekeeping:

- Dismantle pervasive stereotypes that deter participation from underrepresented groups in science careers (Hurtado, 2001).
- Showcase diverse career paths and highlight the contributions of scientists from marginalized communities (Hazen & Campbell, 2010).
- Actively promote inclusive pathways to scientific fields and advocate for wider representation in science professions (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2019).

5. Decolonizing Science:

- Move beyond Eurocentric perspectives by incorporating non-Western knowledge systems into science curricula (Tuhiwai Smith, 2012).
- Foster intercultural dialogue and recognize the validity of diverse ways of understanding the world (Bang & Medin, 2014).
- Include indigenous knowledge systems and challenge Eurocentric biases to promote a more inclusive and comprehensive understanding of scientific knowledge (Tuck & Yang, 2012).
- Why is Intersectionality Important in Science Education?

Embracing intersectionality in science education offers numerous benefits:

- **Unveiling the Complexity of Barriers:** It moves beyond blaming a lack of interest in science on individual factors and delves deeper into how

social identities intertwine, creating unique challenges for each student. This allows educators to address specific obstacles faced by different groups, leading to more effective interventions (Yosso, 2005).

- **Igniting Student Engagement and Motivation:** Traditional science education often feels Eurocentric and disconnected from the lived realities of marginalized students. By incorporating diverse perspectives, intersectional approaches make science relevant and relatable. Students see themselves reflected in the lessons, their cultural knowledge validated, and their experiences acknowledged. This sense of belonging fosters intrinsic motivation and increases engagement in learning (Culturally Responsive Science Education Collective, 2019).
- **Sharpening Critical Thinking and Social Justice Skills:** Intersectional pedagogy encourages students to think critically about science and its relationship to society. They learn to question dominant narratives, analyze how scientific knowledge is constructed, and identify biases in scientific research. This critical thinking spills over into understanding social issues, developing a commitment to social justice, and recognizing the need to challenge inequities and advocate for a more inclusive society.
- **Future vision:** By championing intersectionality, we can imagine a future where science classrooms are not only crucibles of scientific knowledge, but also vibrant communities where every student feels empowered to participate, contribute, and thrive.

References:

- Brickhouse, N. W. (2000). *Transforming visions: Learning in a multicultural science laboratory*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.



Delpit, L. D. (2012). *Culturally responsive teaching: Power, equity, and access for all students*. New York: Routledge.

Gay, G. (2000). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Ladson-Billings, G. (2009). *Culturally relevant pedagogy: Second edition*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Sue, D. W. (2010). *Microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Tuhiwai Smith, L. (2012). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples*. London: Routledge.

Edited books:

Culturally Responsive Science Education Collective. (2019). *Culturally responsive teaching and learning in science: A primer*. Sterling, VA: National Academies Press:

Academies Press:

- Hammer, D., Maass, K., & Reynolds, A. D. (Eds.). (2014). *How students learn science*. New York: Routledge.
- Soja, S. K. (Ed.). (2011). *Language diversity in STEM education: Research and practice*. New York: Springer.

Journal articles:

- Bang, M., & Medin, D. (2014). Cultural pathways to knowledge: Building on children's indigenous understanding of the world to guide science instruction.
- In D. Hammer, K. Maass, & A. D. Reynolds (Eds.), *How students learn science* (pp. 151-193). New York: Routledge.

- Crenshaw, K. W. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory, and legal analysis. *University of Chicago Law Review*, 139-167.
- Gonzalez, N., Moll, L. C., & Amanti, C. (2005). Funds of knowledge: Theorizing practices in learning communities. *Review of Educational Research*, 75(1), 65-102.
- Hazen, R. M., & Campbell, P. B. (2010). Breaking through science: Barriers and bridges to participation by minorities in STEM fields. *Science*, 330(6008), 810-817.
- Hurtado, S. R. (2001). *Ending systemic racism: Transforming educational institutions*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Nasir, J. S. (2011). Identity, language, and learning in science education. In S. K. Soja (Ed.), *Language diversity in STEM education: Research and practice* (pp. 103-121). New York: Springer.
- Tuck, E., & Yang, K. W. (2012). Decolonization is not a metaphor. *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*, 1(1), 1-40.
- Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. *Educational Researcher*, 34(8), 17-22.

Reports:

- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2019). *A framework for broadening participation in STEM*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Dr. Kharade K. (2023). EMBRACING INTERSECTIONALITY IN SCIENCE EDUCATION: A PATHWAY TO INCLUSIVE LEARNING. In *Aarhat Multidisciplinary International Education Research Journal: Vol. XII (Number VI, pp. 146–148)*. AMIERJ. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10617094>