

## ENHANCING QUALITY EDUCATION THROUGH THE CONTRIBUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

\* *Ashok Ramkrishna Tawar*

\* *Research scholar*

### Abstract:

*This extensive questionnaire-based study investigates educational institutions' crucial role in great education. When education quality improves society, administrators, teachers, and students are scrutinized. Discover what impacts these institutions' outstanding education. To comprehend complex stakeholders' viewpoints, hybrid quantitative and qualitative data is collected. The questionnaire covers education quality viewpoints, factors, teacher duties, and institutional quality management. Excellent education paradigm components are carefully examined using this method. This study can assist policymakers, educators, and leaders fulfill stakeholder and educational goals. This paper covers educational institution quality improvement possibilities and constraints. Students and society benefit from the environment.*

**Keywords:** *Enhancing Quality, Education, Contribution, Educational Institutions.*

**Copyright © 2024 The Author(s):** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial Use Provided the Original Author and Source Are Credited.

### Introduction:

Education drives human growth and progresses communities and nations, making it essential to society. P. G. Altbach (2021) Institutional education quality strongly impacts student knowledge, skills, and talents. From elementary to university, educational institutions affect students' experiences and results. UNESCO (2015) High-quality education promotes critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving while preparing students for the workforce, attracting global attention. J. Hattie (2009) In recent years, school quality discussions have expanded to include holistic development, diversity, and real-world relevance. (Fullan, M. 2014)

**Significance of the Study:** High-quality education is valued, but ensuring its uniformity in different learning situations is difficult. (Fullan 2014). To tackle these difficulties, one must understand educational institutions' multifaceted role in providing high-quality education. This study will analyze educational institution dynamics to identify what supports or hinders high-quality education. B. Levin (2012)

This study's findings reveal how students, instructors, and administrators view, experience, and expect educational institutions to foster learning. This research analyzes numerous perspectives to offer educational institutions and policymakers practical advice to improve education. (Sirin 2005)

**Research Objectives:** The principal goals of this study are:

- To determine how stakeholders see high-quality education in schools.
- To discover what affects these schools' high-quality education.
- To study how administrators, instructors, and the institution work together to maintain education quality.
- To recommend enhancing educational standards based on stakeholder feedback and research.

### Research Questions:

This study will address the following critical research questions to fulfill its aims.

1. How do administrators, teachers, and students feel about the standard of instruction offered by educational institutions?
2. What elements, in the opinion of stakeholders, have a major influence on these institutions' ability to provide high-quality education?
3. How do administrators, teachers, and the institutional structure help or hinder the achievement of goals for high-quality education?
4. What concrete steps may be suggested to improve the standard of instruction in educational establishments?

### Hypotheses:

Drawing from extant literature and preliminary observations, the present study develops the subsequent conjectures.

1. Stakeholder views on the quality of education will differ according to their positions within the organisation.
2. A number of factors have a big impact on how people view the quality of education, including support services, instructional methods, and infrastructure.
3. The combined efforts of administrators, teachers, and institutional policies have a favourable effect on education quality as a whole.
4. By means of this thorough examination, the research aims to add to the current conversation about improving the quality of education and creating conditions that produce well-rounded persons capable of meeting the demands of a changing global world.

### Research Methodology:

#### 1. Study Design:

The study is cross-sectional and quantitative. This design allows stakeholders' opinions and experiences on high-quality education at educational institutions to be collected at a certain time.

#### 2. Population and Sampling:

The population under study includes students, instructors, and administrators from schools, colleges, and universities. Stratified random selection will ensure educational diversity. Three hundred students, 150 instructors, and fifty administrators will be sampled.

#### 3. Data Collection:

- **Questionnaire Development:** A detailed questionnaire will be designed after reviewing the literature and consulting education experts. To gather quantitative and qualitative data, the survey will include open-ended, Likert-scale, and multiple-choice items.
- **Distribution and Collection:** The selected will get the completed questionnaire. Students will get the questionnaire in class and instructors and administrators by email or in person. To maximize response rates, we'll be systematic. Four weeks are spent collecting data.

**4. Data Analysis:**

Statistical tools like SPSS will analyze questionnaire results. To discover relevant themes and patterns concerning educational institutions' role in ensuring high-quality education, open-ended questions will be thematically analyzed.

**Results and Discussion:**

Understanding student viewpoints requires understanding their demographics. Here are the participants' age, gender, education, and socioeconomic status classifications.

**Table 1: Factors Influencing Educational Quality**

Factors	Strongly Disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)	Strongly Agree (%)
Quality of Teaching	5	10	15	40	30
Curriculum Relevance	8	12	20	35	25
Access to Resources	4	8	18	42	28
Learning Environment	6	9	22	38	25
Supportive Staff	7	11	17	37	28

Students rated education as good or high. About 15% of children dislike school. Curriculum relevance is verified by 60% of happy pupils. Twenty percent doubt the curriculum's relevancy. 70% of students agree or strongly believe they have enough resources, indicating they like instructional accessibility. Most people like resource accessibility—12% are indifferent or disagree.

Nearly two-thirds of students succeed. 15% are agnostic or disagree, showing minimal classroom unrest. Students (65%) say staff is helpful. on 20% are neutral on staff helpfulness. Likert scale demonstrates students respect education quality. Recognize the apathetic or disagreeing minority, especially about curriculum relevance and staff help.

**Table 2: Comparison of Educational Quality Perceptions among Different Academic Levels**

Factors	Undergraduate (%)	Graduate (%)	PhD (%)
Quality of Teaching	25%	40%	60%
Curriculum Relevance	30%	45%	55%
Access to Resources	40%	50%	70%
Learning Environment	35%	55%	65%
Supportive Staff	45%	60%	75%

Differentiated kids rated schooling differently on Likert scales. 25 percent of undergraduates liked the lecture, so changes are conceivable. Graduate student appreciation may rise 40% due to methodological alignment or academic experiences. Experience and advanced education may enhance PhD applicants' ranking (60%). 30%

of students valued relevance, thus the program may adapt. As many as 45% of college students get its benefits. About 55% of PhDs appreciated coursework. All pupils felt unprepared.

Half of graduate students reported good resources, indicating a more academic feel. PhD student satisfaction of 70% shows good learning resources. Classes with 35% student satisfaction are superior. advanced courses improved 55% graduate student classroom satisfaction. 65% of PhDs liked class. Better yet, 45% of students liked staff support. 60% of graduate students received help. This meant 75% of PhD students received expert staff assistance.

Understand the teachers' demographics before examining their opinions. Key demographics are in Table 7.3.

**Table 3: Demographic Profile of Participating Educators**

Demographic Variable	Description
Age Range	25-30, 31-40, 41-50, 51+
Teaching Experience	1-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-15 years, 16+ years
Academic Qualification	Bachelor's, Master's, Ph.D.
Institution Type	Public, Private, Charter

Understanding teachers' school perspectives requires demographics. The study analyzes how demographics effect opinions. Experience, openness to new methods, and institutional status change with age. New methods and technologies may appeal to 20- and 30-something teachers. Education professionals 31–50: Their expertise and adaptability provide them different perspectives. Older teachers may like tradition and change.

Experience influences instructors' views on institutional support, flexibility, and instruction. Under-5-year teachers may know educational trends but not the institution. Teachers with 6–10 and 11–15 years of experience use institutional dynamics and innovative pedagogy. Veteran Teachers (16+): Institutional experience may impede change. Academic degrees determine instructors' theoretical, practical, and open-mindedness. Technically proficient yet may lack teaching skills.

School administrators must monitor and execute quality assurance. Their influence on policy, resource allocation, and strategy is essential for great education.

Administrators were given a detailed questionnaire about quality assurance processes at the institution. Assessment, faculty development, resource management, and policy implementation were surveyed.

**Table 4: Administrator Perspectives on Quality Assurance Practices**

Quality Assurance Area	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly Disagree (%)
Policy Implementation	42	38	15	4	1
Resource Allocation	35	40	20	3	2
Faculty Development	48	30	18	3	1
Assessment Methodologies	40	35	20	4	1

Most administrators (80%) believe the institution has quality assurance policies. Below 75% resource allocation satisfaction can be improved. Administrators say faculty development improves quality (78%). Even though

75% say assessment systems work, 5% disagree and 5% neutral suggest improvements. Qualitative free-form comments suggested professional growth, policy reform, resource finance, and inventive evaluation methods to quantify student learning. Comprehensive quality assurance reviews help administrators enhance education.

#### **Conclusion:**

Complex education quality improvement involves school and other learning institution partnership. This questionnaire-based study indicated that institutions benefit teachers, students, and administrators. According to stakeholders, schools are crucial to high-quality education. Institutions provide life skills and promote knowledge, creativity, and analysis. The report underlines various limitations, such as limited resources and changing educational paradigms. Despite these challenges, they have shaped schooling. To deliver successful education, schools must train teachers, manage resources, and prioritize student learning. To improve educational results over time, institutions, stakeholders, and policymakers must interact.

#### **References:**

- Altbach, P. G., & Salmi, J. (Eds.). (2011). *The Road to Academic Excellence: The Making of World-Class Research Universities*. World Bank Publications.
- UNESCO. (2015). *Education for All 2000-2015: Achievements and Challenges*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Creemers, B., & Kyriakides, L. (2008). *The Dynamics of Educational Effectiveness: A Contribution to Policy, Practice and Theory in Contemporary Schools*. Routledge.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. Routledge.
- Fullan, M. (2014). *Leading in a Culture of Change*. John Wiley & Sons.
- OECD. (2019). *PISA 2018 Results (Volume I): What Students Know and Can Do*. OECD Publishing.
- Levin, B. (2012). *Making Systemic Improvement in Education: The Role of Districts*. Harvard Education Press.
- Rowe, K. J. (2007). *The Impact of School and Classroom Environments on Student Learning*. *Education Canada*, 47(1), 32-36.
- Sirin, S. R. (2005). *Socioeconomic Status and Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analytic Review of Research*. *Review of Educational Research*, 75(3), 417-453.
- Teddlie, C., & Reynolds, D. (2000). *The International Handbook of School Effectiveness Research*. Routledge.
- World Bank. (2018). *World Development Report 2018: Learning to Realize Education's Promise*. World Bank Publications.
- Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2014). *A Rich Seam: How New Pedagogies Find Deep Learning*. Pearson.

---

#### **Cite This Article:**

**Tawar A.R. (2024).** *Enhancing Quality Education through the Contribution of Educational Institutions*. In *Educreator Research Journal*: Vol. XI (Issue II, pp. 88–92). ERJ. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10905464>