



TRANSFORMING EDUCATION: INTERNATIONALIZATION WITH INCLUSION OF TRIBAL PERSPECTIVES

* *Prof. (Dr.) Sanjay Shedmake*

* *Principal (I/C), PVDVT College of Education for Women, Mumbai.*

Abstract:

Key words: *Internationalization of Education, Tribal Education, Inclusive Education, Educational Equity, Indigenous Knowledge, Cultural Relevance.*

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial Use Provided the Original Author and Source Are Credited.

Introduction :

Education systems are changing quickly in the linked world of today as a result of internationalization and globalization. Increased student mobility, worldwide research projects, cross-border academic partnerships, and the implementation of internationally recognized curricula are characteristics of this shift. These advancements must put inclusivity first, particularly for indigenous communities, who have historically been left out of the mainstream of educational advancement, even as they want to improve educational levels and competitiveness. Indigenous groups varied sociocultural and linguistic realities are often overlooked when the internationalization of education is linked to metropolitan, elite institutions. Context-specific educational changes are necessary in nations like India, where Scheduled Tribes (STs) make up a sizable section of the population. Ignoring tribal viewpoints in international education plans runs the risk of exacerbating already-existing disparities and further marginalizing indigenous students.

Geographic isolation, poverty, poor infrastructure, cultural separation, and linguistic differences are just a few of the many obstacles that tribal groups must overcome in order to get high-quality education. Implementation gaps still exist in spite of government programs like the Eklavya Model Residential Schools (EMRS) and constitutional guarantees. Numerous indigenous students get instruction that is out of step with their cultural background, which results in low academic achievement, high dropout rates, and low retention rates.

There are advantages and disadvantages to internationalization. On the one hand, it can offer curriculum ideas, creative teaching strategies, and teacher preparation programs that are adapted to the needs of the tribe. However, if global norms are overemphasized, national knowledge systems may be undermined. A truly revolutionary education must strike a balance between local relevance and global standards, making sure that the goal of internationalization does not repress tribal voices.

Need of the Study:

Globally, the globalization of education is changing how people learn, but not every group in society has benefited equally from it. Systemic exclusion in education still affects tribal populations in particular. Tribal literacy rates, enrolment numbers, and learning results continue to lag behind national averages in spite of legislative protections and policy initiatives. Because of this discrepancy, educational frameworks must be critically reevaluated to make sure that social injustices are not sustained by globalization.

Due to culturally irrelevant curricula, foreign languages of instruction, and a lack of instructor expertise regarding tribal lives, mainstream education frequently feels alien to tribal learners. Internationalization initiatives run the risk of further alienating tribal pupils if they ignore local realities and cultural knowledge. Nonetheless, there is a chance to match worldwide educational standards with the goals of underserved communities as a result of the global movement toward inclusive and sustainable development.

Challenges Faced by Tribal Communities in the Context of Internationalization:

Internationalization frequently overlooks the special demands of tribal groups, especially in developing countries like India, even though its goals are to improve educational standards and foster global citizenship. Indigenous students, who already face systemic disadvantages, may unwittingly be marginalized by the drive for digital learning, globalized education, and regulatory changes. Important difficulties include:

1. Cultural Disconnect

Tribal customs and worldviews may clash with universal curriculum and values, which are frequently given priority in global education models. For indigenous students, this cultural mismatch can demotivate them and make education seem pointless.

2. Language Barriers

While tribal students speak their native languages, English or other popular regional languages are often used in international education. This language barrier makes it more difficult to understand, participate, and succeed academically.

3. Digital Exclusion

Tribal kids are disadvantaged by the growing use of technology in the classroom since they do not have access to digital gadgets or internet connectivity. Existing educational disparities are made worse by the digital divide.

4. Geographic Isolation

Numerous indigenous communities live in isolated or wooded locations with inadequate facilities for education. Rural and tribal schools are frequently left behind by international programs that favor urban institutions.

5. Lack of Representation

Their obscurity in mainstream education is further reinforced by the rarity of tribal perspectives and indigenous knowledge systems in international scholarly debate.

6. Economic Constraints

Tribal families frequently fall into economically disadvantaged categories, which makes it challenging for them to pay for basic educational costs or even foreign programs. Socioeconomic gaps are widened by the high expenses of international education.

7. Limited Exposure to Global Trends

Tribal teachers and students are frequently unaware of global academic trends, which restricts their access to worldwide educational opportunities.

To make sure that internationalization promotes inclusive growth rather than enlarging the educational divide, it is imperative to address these issues. Tribal populations will continue to be unable to benefit from global education in the absence of focused policies and regional approaches.

Conclusion:

Global citizenship, increased academic opportunities, and improved learning experiences are all possible outcomes of internationalizing education. Its success, however, is on making sure that this change is equal, inclusive, and considerate of cultural variety. While aiming for worldwide quality, educational changes in India, where tribal populations have historically been disadvantaged, must incorporate indigenous knowledge. Language difficulties, internet marginalization, cultural alienation, and policy neglect are some of the challenges that tribal kids encounter in an increasingly globalized educational system, as this conversation has brought to light. It also acknowledges the advantages of internationalization, including information sharing, creative pedagogies, and cross-cultural interaction.

Tribal perspectives must be deliberately incorporated into globalization for it to be genuinely transformative. In order to implement multilingual education, culturally sensitive curricula, community participation, and accessible digital learning solutions, policymakers, educators, and institutions must collaborate. It is crucial to adopt a "glocal" (global plus local) strategy that balances local demands with global norms. We can build an educational system that is socially equitable, culturally inclusive, and globally competitive by giving tribal voices a central place in reform. Only then will we be able to realize the goal of universal access to transformational and equitable education.

References:

1. Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education. <https://www.education.gov.in>
2. Altbach, P. G., & Knight, J. (2007). *The internationalization of higher education: Motivations and realities*. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 11(3-4), 290–305. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315307303542>
3. Govinda, R., & Bandyopadhyay, M. (2010). *Access to elementary education in India: Country analytical review*. Consortium for Research on Educational Access, Transitions and Equity (CREATE).
4. Ministry of Tribal Affairs. (2021). *Annual Report 2020-21*. Government of India. <https://tribal.nic.in>

5. UNESCO. (2020). *Inclusion and education: All means all. Global education monitoring report. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.*
6. Dey, N., & Chaudhuri, D. P. (2017). *Education and tribal development: A study of tribal communities in India. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Research, 5(5), 21–25.*
7. Kumar, K. (2005). *Political agenda of education: A study of colonialist and nationalist ideas. Sage Publications India.*
8. Sinha, S., & Mishra, P. (2012). *Inclusive education in India: Concept, need and challenges. Indian Journal of Research, 2(12), 150–152.*
9. Singh, A. K., & Patnaik, S. (2019). *Digital divide and tribal education in India: Challenges and opportunities. International Journal of Innovative Studies in Sociology and Humanities, 4(6), 45–50.*
10. Mahakul, B. (2021). *Globalization and tribal education: Opportunities and challenges. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research, 10(1), 133–140.*

Cite This Article:

Prof. (Dr.) Shedmake S. (2025). *Transforming Education: Internationalization with Inclusion of Tribal Perspectives . In Educreator Research Journal: Vol. XII (Issue II), pp. 13–16.*

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15703958>