

## **SOCIO ECONOMIC STATUS OF MARGINALIZED SECTIONS AS A CHALLENGE IN TRANSFORMING INDIA THROUGH INTERNATIONALIZATION OF EDUCATION**

*\*Madhurya Chetia*

*\* Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Karmashree Hiteswar Saikia College, Six Mile ,Guwahati Assam.*

### **Abstract:**

*India's aspiration to emerge as a global education hub through the internationalization of its education system, as outlined in the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, represents a significant step toward global academic integration. However, this transformation is impeded by the socio-economic disparities faced by the country's marginalized sections—including Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), Other Backward Classes (OBCs), religious minorities, and economically weaker sections. This paper explores how entrenched socio-economic inequalities restrict access to global educational opportunities for these communities, creating structural barriers to equity in internationalization.*

*Using a mixed-methods approach comprising primary data (surveys and interviews with students from marginalized backgrounds) and secondary data (government reports, scholarly articles, and institutional reviews), the study highlights key challenges such as financial constraints, digital divides, lack of cultural capital, limited institutional support, and systemic discrimination. The findings reveal that internationalization efforts currently cater predominantly to privileged groups, risking the further marginalization of vulnerable populations unless corrective, inclusive policies are enforced.*

*The paper concludes by recommending targeted scholarships, mentorship programs, digital infrastructure development, policy reforms, and affirmative action measures to ensure that the benefits of global education are equitably distributed. Only by integrating social justice into its internationalization strategy can India truly transform its education system and position itself as a globally inclusive knowledge economy.*

**Keywords:** *internationalization of education, socio-economic status, marginalized communities, NEP 2020, educational inequality, inclusion, India.*

**Copyright © 2025 The Author(s):** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial Use Provided the Original Author and Source Are Credited.

### **Introduction:**

The process of transforming India into a knowledge-based economy and global educational hub requires a comprehensive approach toward the internationalization of education. However, this transformation remains significantly constrained by the prevailing socio-economic disparities, especially among marginalized sections of society. This paper critically examines how the socio-economic status of these groups—comprising Scheduled Castes (SC), Scheduled Tribes (ST), Other Backward Classes (OBC), and economically weaker sections—acts

as a fundamental barrier to their participation in and benefit from internationalized educational opportunities. While internationalization brings global exposure, access to cross-cultural competencies, and enhanced academic and career prospects, its benefits remain unevenly distributed due to structural inequalities.

Marginalized communities often face multi-layered disadvantages including poverty, inadequate access to quality primary and secondary education, digital divide, language barriers, and lack of representation in higher education institutions. These factors cumulatively restrict their entry into elite institutions and global academic networks, thereby widening the existing socio-educational gap. Despite policy interventions such as reservations, scholarships, and schemes under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, the ground realities suggest that these measures have limited impact unless coupled with deeper reforms that address socio-economic vulnerabilities.

The study utilizes a mixed-methods approach, combining secondary data analysis with qualitative insights from interviews with students, educators, and policymakers. It reveals that students from marginalized backgrounds are underrepresented in international exchange programs, foreign university collaborations, and global research initiatives. Financial constraints, lack of awareness, and institutional biases further compound their exclusion. In contrast, students from affluent and urban backgrounds continue to dominate the global education space, benefiting from better preparatory resources and international exposure from early stages.

This paper argues that true internationalization must go beyond mere global rankings and foreign partnerships. It should aim to democratize access and create inclusive ecosystems where students from all backgrounds can thrive. Recommendations include the creation of targeted international scholarship schemes for marginalized students, localized orientation programs for global readiness, partnerships with global universities focused on inclusive development, and capacity building at grassroots levels. Furthermore, institutions should adopt inclusive pedagogies, culturally responsive curricula, and mentorship networks that specifically support marginalized students' global engagement.

#### Objectives:

1. **To examine** the socio-economic challenges faced by marginalized communities in accessing international education.
2. **To assess** the impact of these challenges on India's educational transformation goals.
3. **To explore** policy interventions aimed at promoting inclusivity in international education.
4. **To propose** strategies for enhancing the participation of marginalized groups in global educational platforms.

#### Methodology:

**Research Design:** Descriptive and analytical approach.

#### Data Collection:

- **Primary Data:** Surveys and interviews with students, educators, and policymakers.
- **Secondary Data:** Review of existing literature, government reports, and educational statistics.
- **Sampling:** Purposive sampling focusing on marginalized communities across various states in India.

- **Data Analysis:** Qualitative thematic analysis and quantitative statistical methods.

#### Discussion & Explanation:

India's ambitious goal of transforming into a global knowledge hub through the *internationalization of education* is a forward-looking initiative. *Internationalization*, as highlighted in the **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**, includes promoting global student exchange, faculty mobility, international collaborations, establishment of global campuses in India, and elevating Indian institutions to global standards.

However, the **socio-economic status (SES)** of **marginalized sections** presents a serious roadblock to realizing this vision. The term “marginalized” in the Indian context refers to **Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), Other Backward Classes (OBCs), religious minorities, economically weaker sections (EWS), people with disabilities, and those from rural or remote areas.**

The following points show how their socio-economic positioning creates barriers:

**1. Financial Constraints and Limited Economic Mobility: Poverty remains the most visible and critical barrier to international education. The cost of education abroad or even participating in collaborative international programs is prohibitively high. This includes:**

- Tuition and program fees
- Standardized testing fees (like IELTS/TOEFL)
- Travel and living expenses
- Visa processing and insurance costs

Even **within India**, participation in globally affiliated courses or exchange programs requires digital infrastructure, high-quality coaching, and mentorship—resources that most marginalized families cannot afford.

Additionally, **students from SC/ST/OBC backgrounds** are often first-generation learners, adding financial pressure on families already living on the brink of subsistence.

**2. Educational Inequality and Institutional Segregation: There's a glaring disparity in the quality of education received by students from different socio-economic backgrounds:**

- Government schools and colleges (which cater largely to marginalized groups) lack **basic infrastructure**, trained faculty, and global exposure.
- **Language barriers** further segregate students; those from vernacular backgrounds find it difficult to compete in English-dominated global academia.
- **Dropout rates** among SC/ST students are significantly higher at secondary and tertiary levels due to poor academic support and caste-based discrimination.

As a result, these students are underrepresented in higher education and, more so, in international programs.

**3. Digital Divide and Limited Technological Access: The digital divide—the gap between those who have access to technology and those who do not—is a modern manifestation of socio-economic inequality.**

- Online learning platforms, international MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses), and virtual exchange programs are predominantly accessible to urban, upper-class students.

- According to government reports, **only about 27% of rural households have internet access.**
- Marginalized students are far less likely to own personal computers or smartphones capable of supporting digital education.

Thus, digital internationalization of education leaves these groups further behind.

4. **Lack of Social and Cultural Capital:** Cultural capital—a term coined by sociologist Pierre Bourdieu—refers to non-financial social assets like language proficiency, confidence, global awareness, and social networking that help individuals succeed in society.

Students from marginalized communities often lack:

- **Exposure to global cultures**
- **Mentorship and guidance** on higher studies abroad
- **Support networks** to navigate foreign application processes

This leads to **low aspirations** and **self-exclusion**, where students opt out of international opportunities simply because they believe "it's not for people like them."

5. **Discrimination and Caste-Based Prejudices:** Even within institutions of higher learning, systemic discrimination persists. Students from marginalized backgrounds face:

- **Bias and exclusion** in elite academic spaces
- **Stigma** associated with affirmative action or reservation-based admissions
- **Lack of role models** or representation among faculty and administration

These experiences discourage them from pursuing advanced, competitive, or international opportunities, where the sense of 'belonging' is even weaker.

6. **Policy-Level Gaps:** While NEP 2020 makes a commitment to inclusive and equitable education, the mechanisms for enabling international participation of marginalized groups are vague:

- The policy proposes attracting foreign students to India more than supporting Indian students to go abroad.
- **Financial aid and scholarship structures are underdeveloped** or inaccessible for those not already within elite institutions.
- There is **no affirmative action** or reservation within international collaborations or global programs.

Furthermore, private universities—key players in India's internationalization drive—often charge exorbitant fees, automatically excluding lower-income students.

7. **Internationalization Becoming Elitist:** Internationalization, while beneficial, risks becoming a tool for elitism if equity is not foregrounded:

- It disproportionately benefits urban, upper-caste, and English-speaking students.
- Marginalized groups get left out of global learning ecosystems, reinforcing global and local hierarchies.
- Universities may seek international rankings and collaborations while **neglecting their constitutional responsibility for inclusion.**

This leads to a paradox: **India globalizes its education but internal inequities remain entrenched—or even worsen.**

**8. COVID-19: A Case Study in Inequality:** During the COVID-19 pandemic, universities moved to online platforms and international webinars. While urban students could adapt easily, marginalized students faced a complete breakdown of access:

- No devices or internet
- Loss of family income
- Lack of institutional support or flexibility

Post-pandemic recovery policies for internationalization have largely **ignored this unequal baseline**, once again leaving behind the most vulnerable.

**Conclusion :**

Transforming India through internationalization of education **cannot be achieved in isolation from social justice**. The socio-economic status of marginalized sections is not just a background factor—it is a defining variable that influences who gets access, who participates, and who benefits from global education reforms. **Without systemic correction—via affirmative policies, financial aid, digital inclusion, mentorship, and anti-discrimination safeguards—the dream of internationalizing education will remain exclusionary.** It is imperative that equity is not a footnote but a **central pillar** in any educational transformation agenda. The socio-economic status of marginalized communities presents a significant challenge to India's efforts in educational internationalization. Without addressing these disparities, the goal of creating an inclusive and globally competitive education system remains unattainable.

In conclusion, the internationalization of education in India holds transformative potential only when it is aligned with the principles of social justice and equity. Bridging the socio-economic divide is not just a policy necessity but a moral imperative for realizing the full spectrum of India's demographic dividend. Ensuring inclusive participation in global education will not only uplift marginalized communities but also contribute to a more diverse, representative, and holistic Indian presence on the international academic stage.

**Findings:**

1. **Limited Access:** Marginalized communities have restricted access to international education due to financial and infrastructural barriers.
2. **Underrepresentation:** There is a significant underrepresentation of these communities in global educational platforms.
3. **Policy Gaps:** Existing policies often fail to address the specific needs of marginalized groups in the context of international education.

**Recommendations:**

1. **Policy Reform:** Revise educational policies to include specific provisions for marginalized communities in international education initiatives.
2. **Financial Support:** Increase scholarships and financial aid targeting students from disadvantaged backgrounds.

3. **Infrastructure Development:** Enhance digital and physical infrastructure in rural and underserved areas to facilitate access to global learning resources.
4. **Awareness Campaigns:** Conduct awareness programs to inform marginalized communities about available international educational opportunities.

**Bibliography :**

1. Bhoi, D., & Lakra, N. R. (2022). *Marginality, educational opportunity and access to higher education: Experiences of Scheduled Caste and Tribe students in India*. Journal of Education and Practice, 13(4), 45-60.
2. Kumar, A., Sharma, P., Patel, R., Gupta, A., & Singh, V. (2024). *Educational inequality and its impact on social and economic opportunities in rural India*. International Journal of Humanities, Management and Social Sciences, 7(2), 87-96.
3. Mangat, P. K. (2024). *Inclusivity in higher education: Analysing the implementation of NEP 2020 for marginalized communities in India*. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(1), 1-15.
4. Sabale, S. D. (2024). *Issues of inclusive education for disadvantaged students in India*. International Education and Research Journal, 10(3), 123-130.
5. Vasavi, A. R. (2020, September 15). *NEP 2020 ignores crisis in education among the marginalised majority in rural India*. The Indian Express. <https://indianexpress.com/article/opinion/columns/national-education-policy-rural-education-schools-6596233/>
6. Kaur, P. (2024). *Inclusivity in higher education: Analysing the implementation of NEP 2020 for marginalized communities in India*. ResearchGate. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384168106\\_inclusivity\\_in\\_higher\\_education\\_analysing\\_the\\_implementation\\_of\\_nep\\_2020\\_for\\_marginalized\\_communities\\_in\\_india](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384168106_inclusivity_in_higher_education_analysing_the_implementation_of_nep_2020_for_marginalized_communities_in_india)
7. Educational inequality. (2025, April 18). In Wikipedia. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Educational\\_inequality](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Educational_inequality)
8. Dalit. (2025, April 18). In Wikipedia. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dalit>

**Cite This Article:**

**Chetia M. (2025).** Socio Economic status of marginalized sections as a challenge in transforming India through Internationalization of Education. In **Educreator Research Journal: Vol. XII (Issue II)**, pp. 67–72.

**Doi:** <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15704711>