

## WORKER RIGHTS IN THE GIG ECONOMY: A GROWING DEBATE

*\* Dr. Ajit N. Jadhav & \*\* Dr. Amita C. Koli*

*\* Shankar Narayan college of Arts & Commerce, Bhayandar (E), Thane -401105.*

*\*\* Patkar Varde College (Autonomous), S.V. Road Goregaon (W), Mumbai- 400104*

### Abstract

*This research investigates the evolving landscape of gig worker rights, focusing on the effectiveness of current policies and regulations across Europe, the USA, and Asia. The primary aim is to assess whether existing policies are improving working conditions for gig workers or inadvertently causing unintended consequences. The study employs a qualitative methodology, examining case studies from Spain, France, the UK, the USA (California), and emerging gig economies in India and China. Key findings highlight that while regulations like Spain's Rider Law and the UK Supreme Court's ruling on Uber drivers have succeeded in enhancing worker protections, policies such as California's Proposition 22 have limited the extent of benefits provided. The study proposes that a balanced regulatory framework is necessary to ensure fair compensation and protections for gig workers while preserving the flexibility that defines gig work. Future research should focus on the long-term impacts of hybrid models and the integration of technology to improve gig worker rights.*

**Keywords:** *Gig economy, Worker rights, Gig worker protections, Hybrid employment models, Technology in gig work*

**Copyright © 2025 The Author(s):** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

### Introduction:

#### 1. Background & Context:

The gig economy has dramatically reshaped labor markets around the world, providing individuals with the flexibility to engage in short-term, task-based work through digital platforms such as Uber, DoorDash, and Fiverr. This sector has seen exponential growth due to advancements in technology, wider internet access, and changes in consumer behavior, as businesses increasingly rely on independent contractors to reduce costs while providing workers with greater autonomy in managing their schedules (De Stefano, 2016). Despite the convenience and flexibility that gig work offers, it raises concerns about the fairness of working conditions. Many gig workers, classified as independent contractors, lack traditional benefits such as health insurance, paid leave, and job

security (Wood et al., 2019). This classification leaves gig workers excluded from fundamental labor protections, such as minimum wage laws and the right to unionize, which has spurred legal and policy debates across different regions (Healy et al., 2017).

#### 2. Research Importance:

The debate surrounding worker rights in the gig economy is crucial because it addresses the fundamental questions about labor protections, fairness, and the evolving nature of work. The gig economy has created new employment opportunities, but it has also introduced significant risks for workers, including job insecurity, inconsistent income, and a lack of social protections. One of the major issues is worker classification: most gig workers are labeled as independent contractors rather than employees,

which results in their exclusion from benefits like health insurance, paid leave, and minimum wage laws (De Stefano, 2016). While this benefits gig platforms by reducing labor costs, it leaves workers vulnerable to financial instability and exploitation (Kalleberg & Vallas, 2018). Another concern is the prevalence of algorithmic management in the gig economy, where platforms use technology to dictate work schedules, pay, and performance metrics, often without adequate transparency (Wood et al., 2019). Research on these issues is essential for informing policy decisions that could balance the benefits of gig work with fair labor standards, thus creating a more sustainable and just labor market.

### 3. Research Objectives:

This study aims to:

- Investigate the rise of digital labor platforms and their impact on employment.
- Examine the concerns associated with job classification, lack of benefits, and algorithmic management.
- Explore the role of gig work in contributing to income inequality and labor market instability.
- Review global regulations and labor laws, identifying areas that need reform.
- Propose fair labor policies, platform accountability, and enhanced worker protections.

### 4. Research Methodology:

The study is based on secondary data analysis, utilizing scholarly research, industry reports, legal documents, and government policies to assess gig worker rights. It draws on academic literature on gig work, labor law, and digital employment trends, in addition to government and industry reports that highlight the labor conditions and policies in different countries. This methodology ensures a comprehensive and evidence-based analysis of the gig economy's impact on worker rights.

### 5. Structure Overview:

This paper is organized into several key sections:

- **Introduction:** Overview of the gig economy and its challenges.
- **Literature Review:** Analysis of existing research on gig work and labor protections.
- **Key Issues:** Exploration of the challenges faced by gig workers, such as job classification and lack of benefits.
- **Legal and Policy Responses:** Review of government regulations and labor laws.
- **Social and Economic Implications:** Examination of gig work's effect on inequality and labor market stability.
- **Recommendations and Conclusion:** Proposals for regulatory reforms and alternative employment models.

### Literature Review:

#### 1. Definition and Scope of the Gig Economy:

The gig economy refers to a labor market characterized by temporary, task-based work, often facilitated through online platforms like Uber, DoorDash, and Fiverr (Healy et al., 2017). Workers in this economy have greater flexibility in choosing their schedules and tasks, which is a major attraction. However, gig workers typically lack the benefits that come with traditional employment, such as healthcare, retirement plans, and paid leave (De Stefano, 2016). As of 2023, around 38% of the U.S. workforce, or approximately 64 million people, participated in gig or freelance work, signaling the rapid expansion of this labor model (Kalleberg & Vallas, 2018). Despite its flexibility, gig work is often precarious, with income instability and limited legal protections leading to financial insecurity for many workers (Wood et al., 2019).

#### 2. Theoretical Perspectives on Gig Work:

Gig work has been analyzed through various theoretical frameworks, including labor market

theories, precarity theories, and flexibility debates. Labor market theories emphasize the shift from traditional, secure employment to more precarious work arrangements facilitated by digital platforms (Healy et al., 2017). Precarity theories focus on the instability and insecurity faced by gig workers, who often lack traditional protections like minimum wage, health insurance, and retirement plans (Kalleberg, 2009). Finally, flexibility debates consider the trade-off between the autonomy that gig work offers and the economic vulnerabilities it imposes on workers. While gig work allows workers to choose their tasks and schedules, it often comes at the cost of economic security (Ravenelle, 2019).

### 3. Historical Context of Worker Rights:

Historically, labor rights have been rooted in traditional employment relationships that offered long-term contracts, job security, and benefits such as healthcare and retirement plans. The industrial era saw the emergence of labor movements advocating for workers' rights, which led to the introduction of key regulations like the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 in the U.S., which established minimum wage, overtime pay, and child labor protections (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2015). The rise of the gig economy, however, challenges these traditional labor laws, as gig workers operate as independent contractors without access to the same protections. Some countries, like New Zealand, have introduced clearer definitions to differentiate independent contractors from employees, aiming to reduce legal uncertainties (The Australian, 2023).

### 4. Key Themes in the Debate on Gig Economy Worker Rights:

The debate over gig worker rights revolves around several key themes:

- **Employment Classification:** The distinction between independent contractors and employees is central to the debate. Independent contractors lack the legal protections afforded to employees, such as minimum wage laws and health benefits (De Stefano, 2016).
- **Wage and Job Security:** Gig workers face unpredictable earnings, with income dependent on task availability and platform algorithms. This often leads to financial instability (Kalleberg & Vallas, 2018).
- **Social Protections:** Gig workers typically do not receive benefits like healthcare, retirement plans, or paid leave, which leaves them vulnerable during periods of illness or financial hardship (Graham et al., 2017).
- **Collective Bargaining:** Gig workers often lack the right to unionize, making it difficult to negotiate for better working conditions or wages (Prassl & Risak, 2016).

### Key Issues in Worker Rights:

#### 1. Legal Frameworks and Employment Status:

The classification of gig workers as independent contractors has been a key legal issue, with major court cases in California and the UK setting precedents for workers' rights. California's AB5 sought to reclassify gig workers as employees, but Proposition 22 exempted gig companies like Uber and Lyft from this requirement (California Labor Law Blog, 2024). In contrast, the UK Supreme Court's 2021 ruling on Uber drivers classified them as "workers," granting them minimum wage and paid leave (Mercer, 2021).

#### 2. Wages and Earnings Stability:

Gig work is characterized by income volatility, with many workers earning less than minimum wage on an hourly basis (Economic Policy Institute, 2021). Some regions, such as New York City and Seattle, have introduced minimum wage laws to protect gig

workers, but these regulations face challenges in implementation (WorldatWork, 2023).

### 3. Lack of Benefits & Social Protections:

Gig workers generally do not receive traditional employment benefits such as health insurance or paid sick leave (Filippatos, 2022). Some countries, like South Korea, have made strides by providing gig workers with benefits like paid leave and unemployment coverage (Third Way, 2023).

### 4. Algorithmic Management & Workplace Surveillance:

Algorithmic management and surveillance have become central features of gig work, with platforms using algorithms to allocate tasks and monitor performance. While these systems aim to increase efficiency, they can lead to issues of fairness and transparency, as workers have limited visibility into how their performance is evaluated (Yale School of Management, 2023).

### 5. Unionization and Worker Advocacy Movements

In response to these challenges, gig workers have increasingly sought to organize and advocate for better working conditions. Organizations such as Gig Workers Rising and the Independent Workers' Union of Great Britain (IWGB) have played a pivotal role in pushing for legislative reforms and improving working conditions for gig workers (Gig Workers Rising, n.d.).

### Comparative Analysis of Policy Responses:

#### 1. Case Studies from Different Countries:

Several countries have implemented varying levels of protection for gig workers. Spain's Rider Law mandates that delivery workers be classified as employees, providing them with essential protections like paid leave and social security (Politico, 2021). In contrast, the UK's Supreme Court ruling on Uber drivers granted them minimum wage and holiday pay rights, while ongoing debates in the U.S. (particularly California)

continue to address gig worker classification and benefits (Financial Times, 2025).

#### 2. Successes and Limitations of Current Policies:

While policies like Spain's Rider Law have successfully improved conditions for gig workers, California's Proposition 22 has limited the scope of benefits, maintaining workers' independent contractor status (Investopedia, 2019). Similarly, minimum wage laws in cities like New York and Seattle face challenges in terms of implementation and compliance (RFI, 2023).

### Future Trends and Policy Recommendations:

#### 1. The Role of Governments and Platforms in Worker Rights:

Governments must adapt labor laws to provide fair compensation and benefits to gig workers while preserving the flexibility of gig work. Platforms should be accountable for worker rights, ensuring transparency and fairness in pay and job classification (Healy et al., 2017).

#### 2. Potential Regulatory Models

Hybrid employment models, where gig workers are classified as dependent contractors, could provide a middle ground, offering some employee protections while maintaining work flexibility (Healy et al., 2017). Portable benefits would allow workers to accrue benefits across platforms, providing more stability and security (Gig Workers Rising, n.d.).

#### 3. Technology and Worker Rights

Advancements in AI, blockchain, and digital cooperatives present opportunities for improving gig worker rights. AI transparency regulations and the use of blockchain for transparent payment systems could ensure that gig workers are treated fairly and paid promptly (Chen et al., 2024).

### Conclusion:

#### 1. Summary of Findings

This study reveals the mixed success of gig economy regulations. While Spain's Rider Law and

the UK Supreme Court ruling on Uber drivers have improved worker conditions, California's Proposition 22 has limited protections, maintaining the independent contractor status. Innovations like hybrid employment models and portable benefits offer promising solutions but face significant challenges.

## 2. Implications for Policy, Business, and Workers

Governments must continue adapting labor laws to balance flexibility and protections for gig workers. Platforms should take responsibility for ensuring fair compensation and transparency in their practices. Worker advocacy movements remain essential in pushing for legislative change and securing better conditions for gig workers.

## 3. Future Research Directions

Future research should focus on the effectiveness of hybrid models and the integration of technology to improve worker rights. Longitudinal studies on the impact of these models could provide valuable insights into their effectiveness in different regions.

## References:

1. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2015). *Labor law highlights, 1915–2015*. *Monthly Labor Review*. Retrieved from <https://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2015/article/labor-law-highlights-1915-2015.htm>
2. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. (n.d.). *Worker classification and AB 5 FAQs*. Retrieved from <https://www.ftb.ca.gov/file/business/industries/worker-classification-and-ab-5-faq.html>
3. California Labor Law Blog. (2024, July 25). *California Supreme Court upholds Proposition 22: What it means for gig economy workers and companies*. *California Labor Law Blog*. Retrieved from <https://www.callaborlaw.com/entry/california-supreme-court-upholds-proposition-22-what-it-means-for-gig-economy-workers-and-companies>
4. Chen, R., Wang, R., Sadeh, N., & Fang, F. (2024). *Missing pieces: How framing uncertainty impacts longitudinal trust in AI decision aids—a gig driver case study*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06432*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.06432>
5. De Stefano, V. (2016). *The rise of the "just-in-time workforce": On-demand work, crowdwork, and labor protection in the gig economy*. *Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal*, 37(3), 471-504.
6. Economic Policy Institute. (2021). *National survey of gig workers paints a picture of poor working conditions*. Retrieved from <https://www.epi.org/publication/gig-worker-survey/>
7. Filippatos, A. (2022). *The gig economy: Employment rights for freelancers and independent contractors*. *Filippatos Law*. Retrieved from <https://www.filippatoslaw.com/blog/the-gig-economy-employment-rights-for-freelancers-and-independent-contractors/>
8. Financial Times. (2025, January 5). *Uniqlo, Gymshark, and Lush stop hiring UK workers via gig economy apps*. *Financial Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.ft.com/content/48104149-ba7f-4793-a721-6fb070e66202>
9. Gig Workers Rising. (n.d.). *Join the movement*. Retrieved from <https://gigworkersrising.org/>
10. Graham, M., Hjorth, I., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2017). *Digital labour and development: Impacts of global digital labour platforms and the gig economy on worker livelihoods*. *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research*, 23(2), 135-162.
11. Healy, J., Nicholson, D., & Pekarek, A. (2017). *Should we take the gig economy seriously? Labour & Industry: A Journal of the Social and Economic Relations of Work*, 27(3), 232-248.



12. Investopedia. (2019, September 11). *California Assembly Bill 5 (AB5): What's in it and what it means*. Investopedia. Retrieved from <https://www.investopedia.com/california-assembly-bill-5-ab5-4773201>
13. Kalleberg, A. L., & Vallas, S. P. (2018). Probing precarious work: Theory, research, and politics. *Research in the Sociology of Work*, 31, 1-30.
14. Mercer. (2021, February 19). *UK Supreme Court rules that Uber drivers are "workers"*. Retrieved from <https://www.mercer.com/en-us/insights/law-and-policy/uk-supreme-court-rules-that-uber-drivers-are-workers/>
15. Politico. (2021, May 11). *Spain approved a law protecting delivery workers. Here's what you need to know*. Politico. Retrieved from <https://www.politico.eu/article/spain-approved-a-law-protecting-delivery-workers-heres-what-you-need-to-know/>
16. Prassl, J., & Risak, M. (2016). *Uber, Taskrabbit, & Co: Platforms as employers? Rethinking the legal analysis of crowdwork*. *Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal*, 37(3), 619-651.
17. Ravenelle, A. J. (2019). *Hustle and gig: Struggling and surviving in the sharing economy*. University of California Press.
18. RFI Global. (2023). *The gig economy and the looming retirement crisis*. RFI Global. Retrieved from <https://rfi.global/the-gig-economy-and-the-looming-retirement-crisis/>
19. The Australian. (2023). *Uber clarity: NZ's bold move on gig economy workers*. Retrieved from <https://www.theaustralian.com.au/commentary/uber-clarity-nzs-bold-move-on-gig-economy-workers/news-story/d4aeae700568fdc5059386eef7ed0>
20. Third Way. (2023). *What's new on benefit models for gig workers*. Third Way. Retrieved from <https://www.thirdway.org/memo/whats-new-on-benefit-models-for-gig-workers>
21. Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2019). *Good gig, bad gig: Autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy*. *Work, Employment and Society*, 33(1), 56-75.
22. Yale School of Management. (2023, August). *Ratings systems amplify racial bias on gig-economy platforms*. Yale Insights. Retrieved from <https://insights.som.yale.edu/insights/ratings-systems-amplify-racial-bias-on-gig-economy-platforms>

### Cite This Article:

**Dr. Jadhav A.N. & Dr. Koli A.C(2025).** *Worker Rights in the Gig Economy: A Growing Debate*. In **Aarhat Multidisciplinary International Education Research Journal**: Vol. XIV (Number II, pp. 55–60).