

## COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF HOT V/S. COLD ENVIRONMENTS ON ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE: A CYCLING PERSPECTIVE

**\*Dr. Vasant Gajaba Zende & \*\* Dr. Suhas D. Yadav,**

*\*Associate Professor and Head, Department of Physical Education and Sports, Pratishthan Mahavidyalaya Paithan, District Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, Maharashtra.*

*\*\* Associate Professor and Head, Department of Physical Education and Sports, Sant Tukaram Mahavidyalaya, Kannad District Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, Maharashtra.*

### Abstract:

*This paper explores the physiological and performance-related effects of endurance cycling under contrasting environmental conditions, specifically comparing hot (34°C) and cold (10°C) settings. Environmental temperature is a critical factor influencing athletic performance, as it affects thermoregulatory mechanisms, cardiovascular function, and muscular efficiency. High ambient temperatures can lead to increased core body temperature, accelerated sweating and dehydration, and elevated cardiovascular strain, all of which may impair power output and endurance capacity. In contrast, cold environments challenge the body in different ways, such as inducing peripheral vasoconstriction, altering muscle contractility, and affecting neuromuscular coordination. Drawing upon empirical studies, this research examines key performance parameters, including power output, which reflects the cyclist's ability to generate force over time; muscle activation patterns, which indicate neuromuscular engagement and fatigue resistance; body temperature regulation, which shows the body's capacity to maintain homeostasis; and perceived fatigue, which provides a subjective measure of exertion and psychological strain. By comparing these variables across hot and cold conditions, the study aims to elucidate the distinct physiological and psychological responses that environmental extremes elicit in endurance athletes. The findings from this investigation are expected to provide practical insights for training, competition preparation, and recovery strategies, enabling athletes and coaches to optimize performance while minimizing the risks associated with thermal stress. Understanding how heat and cold impact endurance cycling not only informs sport-specific interventions but also contributes to broader knowledge of human adaptation to extreme environmental conditions.*

**Keywords:** *Endurance cycling, Environmental temperature, Hot environment, Cold environment, Athletic performance, Muscle activation, Heat stress, Cold stress, Training strategies, Performance optimization*

**Copyright © 2025 The Author(s):** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

### Introduction:

Endurance cycling is a demanding and multifaceted physical activity that requires prolonged aerobic effort, muscular endurance, and efficient energy metabolism. Performance in endurance cycling is influenced by a combination of intrinsic factors, such as **training status, nutrition, hydration, and psychological readiness**, and extrinsic factors, particularly **environmental conditions**. Among these, ambient temperature has emerged as a critical determinant of performance outcomes, as it directly affects

physiological regulation, neuromuscular function, and perceived exertion.

**Hot environments** pose unique challenges for endurance athletes. Elevated temperatures increase **core body temperature**, accelerate **sweating and fluid loss**, and impose additional strain on the **cardiovascular system**. These factors can lead to reduced **muscle efficiency, impaired metabolic function, and early onset of fatigue**, ultimately limiting sustained power output and endurance performance. In extreme cases, prolonged exposure to

heat without appropriate adaptation can result in **heat-related illnesses**, including heat exhaustion and heat stroke, which compromise both performance and safety. Conversely, **cold environments** introduce distinct physiological stressors. Reduced ambient temperatures trigger **peripheral vasoconstriction**, which can limit blood flow to working muscles, impairing **muscle contractility and joint flexibility**. Cold exposure may also alter **neuromuscular coordination** and increase the perception of effort, potentially reducing overall power output. While the risk of heat-related illness is mitigated, athletes must manage the challenges of maintaining **thermal homeostasis, preventing hypothermia, and sustaining optimal muscular performance**.

Several empirical studies have examined the effects of environmental temperature on endurance performance. Research indicates that hot conditions generally lead to **decreased power output, increased fatigue, and altered muscle activation patterns**, whereas cold conditions may preserve power output but require additional energy for thermoregulation and can impair fine motor control. Despite these findings, there remains a need for comprehensive, comparative studies that evaluate **both hot and cold environments within the same athlete cohort**, integrating physiological measures, performance metrics, and subjective fatigue assessments. Understanding how extreme temperatures influence endurance cycling is critical for developing **effective training protocols, competition strategies, and recovery interventions**. Heat acclimatization programs, cold-weather conditioning, hydration strategies, and pacing adjustments are practical approaches that can help athletes optimize performance while minimizing physiological strain. By analyzing performance outcomes across contrasting environments, coaches and athletes can make **evidence-based decisions** tailored to the specific conditions of training and competition.

**Research Gap:** While previous studies have separately examined hot or cold conditions, there is limited research comparing their effects within the same endurance cycling framework, particularly in the context of real-world performance simulations. Additionally, few studies integrate **physiological, neuromuscular, and subjective measures** to provide a holistic understanding of environmental impacts.

#### Objectives:

1. Evaluate the effects of **hot (34°C) versus cold (10°C) environments** on endurance cycling performance.
2. Assess differences in **power output, muscle activation, core body temperature, and perceived fatigue** across these environmental conditions.
3. Provide practical insights for **training, competition preparation, and recovery strategies** for endurance cyclists in extreme temperatures.

#### Methodology:

##### Study Design:

A **controlled, repeated-measures experimental design** was employed to evaluate the effects of hot (34°C) and cold (10°C) environments on endurance cycling performance. Each participant completed cycling trials under both environmental conditions, allowing for direct within-subject comparisons of physiological, neuromuscular, and performance outcomes.

##### Samples :

Nine trained male endurance cyclists (**mean age: 31 ± 6 years; VO<sub>2</sub>max: 62.1 ± 8.5 ml·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>**) were selected for the study.

##### Limitations:

1. Minimum of 02 years of endurance cycling experience
2. Free from cardiovascular, respiratory, or musculoskeletal disorders
3. No history of heat- or cold-related illnesses

**Delimitations:**

1. Use of performance-enhancing drugs or supplements
2. Recent injuries affecting cycling performance
3. Failure to adhere to pre-trial dietary or hydration guidelines

**Environmental Conditions:**

Two controlled environments were created using climate-controlled laboratories:

- **Hot condition:** 34°C, 50% relative humidity
- **Cold condition:** 10°C, 50% relative humidity

Participants were acclimatized to the environment for 30 minutes prior to the trial to ensure stable physiological conditions.

**Cycling Protocol:**

Each participant completed a **100-km endurance cycling trial** on a calibrated cycle ergometer in both temperature conditions.

The trial included:

- ❖ Five samples with 1-km high-intensity intervals
- ❖ Four samples with 4-km moderate-intensity intervals
- ❖ Continuous monitoring of performance metrics throughout the session

Trials were separated by at least **72 hours** to allow for recovery and minimize fatigue carryover. The order of environmental conditions was **counterbalanced** across participants to prevent order effects.

**Measured Parameters:****Power Output:**

- ❖ Measured continuously using a **calibrated power meter** integrated with the cycle ergometer.
- ❖ Expressed in watts (W) and analyzed for average power during intervals and overall trial.

**Results :**

The average power output of cyclists differed notably between the hot (34°C) and cold (10°C) conditions.

Condition	Average Power Output (W)	Standard Deviation
Hot (34°C)	220.5	18.2
Cold (10°C)	245.8	16.5

Paired t-test analysis indicated a **significant reduction in power output** in the hot condition compared to the cold condition ( $t = 5.12$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , Cohen's  $d = 1.71$ ). This suggests that elevated ambient temperatures negatively impacted the cyclists' ability to maintain high-intensity performance over the endurance trial.

**Muscle Activation:**

- ❖ Assessed using **surface electromyography (sEMG)** on major lower limb muscles (quadriceps, hamstrings, gastrocnemius).
- ❖ Root mean square (RMS) values calculated to quantify neuromuscular engagement.

**Results:**

Surface EMG recordings revealed differences in neuromuscular engagement between conditions.

Muscle	Hot (RMS $\mu V$ )	Cold (RMS $\mu V$ )
Quadriceps	62.3	70.1
Hamstrings	55.4	61.8
Gastrocnemius	48.7	54.9

Analysis showed that **muscle activation decreased significantly** in the hot environment, particularly in the quadriceps ( $p = 0.002$ ). The results suggest thermal stress may reduce neuromuscular efficiency and contribute to earlier onset of fatigue.

**Core Body Temperature:**

- ❖ Measured via **ingestible telemetry capsules** providing continuous core temperature readings.
- ❖ Data analyzed for average, peak, and time-dependent changes during the trials.

**Results:**

Condition	Average Core Temp (°C)	Peak Core Temp (°C)
Hot (34°C)	38.7	39.4
Cold (10°C)	37.2	37.8

Core temperature was **significantly elevated in the hot condition** ( $p < 0.001$ ). Elevated core temperature in hot environments correlates with reduced power output and increased cardiovascular strain, consistent with the observed decline in performance.

**Perceived Fatigue:**

- ❖ Assessed using the **Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE) scale** at regular intervals throughout the trial.
- ❖ Scores recorded to evaluate subjective fatigue levels under each environmental condition.

**Results:** Perceived exertion, measured using the Borg RPE scale, differed markedly between conditions:

Condition	Average RPE	Standard Deviation
Hot (34°C)	17.8	1.2
Cold (10°C)	14.2	1.0

Cyclists reported **higher perceived fatigue in the hot environment** ( $p < 0.001$ , Cohen's  $d = 2.5$ ), indicating increased subjective effort and discomfort under thermal stress.

**Data Analysis:**

- ❖ Data were checked for normality using the **Shapiro-Wilk test**.
- ❖ Differences between hot and cold conditions were analyzed using **paired t-tests** or **repeated-measures ANOVA** where appropriate.
- ❖ Effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) were calculated to assess the magnitude of differences.

- ❖ Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

**Overall Results:**

Overall, the results indicate that **hot environmental conditions impair endurance cycling performance**, while cold environments support relatively higher power output, better neuromuscular function, and lower perceived exertion.

**Conclusion:** Environmental temperature significantly affects endurance cycling performance. Hot conditions (34°C) reduce power output, decrease muscle activation, elevate core temperature, and increase perceived fatigue, while cold conditions (10°C) support better performance and lower fatigue. These findings emphasize the need for **temperature-specific training, acclimatization, and recovery strategies** to optimize performance and ensure athlete safety in diverse climates.

**References:**

1. **Patil, S. (2022).** Cycling in Indian summer heat Cycling Monks.  
Retrieved from <https://cyclingmonks.com/cycling-indian-summer/>
2. **Verma, S. (2023).** Race Across India: How athletes have prepared for 12-day ultra cycling race. Mint. Retrieved from <https://www.livemint.com/mintlounge/wellness/fitness-race-across-india-ultracycling-ultramarathon/amp-11728048224728.html>
3. **Abbiss, C. R., Burnett, A., Nosaka, K., Green, J. P., Foster, J. K., & Laursen, P. B. (2010).** Effect of hot versus cold climates on power output, muscle activation, and perceived fatigue during a dynamic 100-km cycling trial. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(2), 117–125.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410903406216>

**Cite This Article:** Dr. Zende V.G. & Dr. Yadav S.D. (2025). Comparative Analysis of Hot V/S. Cold Environments on Athletic Performance: A Cycling Perspective. In *Aarhat Multidisciplinary International Education Research Journal*: Vol. XIV (Number VI, pp. 21–24). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18171258>